

REMARKS

Applicant has amended the claims 2, 8, 9, 10, 18, 23 and 24. Applicant respectfully submits that these amendments to the claims are supported by the application as originally filed and do not contain any new matter. In addition, Applicant respectfully submits that these amended claims would not be properly rejected based upon the art of record for the reasons set forth herein below.

The Examiner has rejected the claims 2, 4 through 6, 8 through 10, 14 through 16, 31 and 32 under 35 USC 103 as being obvious over Orlov et al. in view of Heanue et al.

In reply to this rejection, Applicant would like to incorporate by reference his comments previously made in the amendment filed March 15, 2010, the pre-appeal brief filed December 14, 2009, the Rule 116 Amendment filed October 7, 2009 and the amendment filed March 9, 2009.

In addition to the above, Applicant has carefully considered the Examiner's statements in this rejection and respectfully submits that the Examiner has interpreted the meaning of the phrase "radial patterns" very broadly. Accordingly, in order to limit the scope of the meaning of the phrase "radial patterns" Applicant has amended the claims to indicate --radial line patterns--. Applicant respectfully submits that neither Orlov et al. nor Heanue et al. disclose radial line patterns.

In view of the above, therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that the combination suggested by the Examiner is not Applicant's invention and the claims 2, 4 through 6, 8 through 10, 14 through 16, 31 and 32 are not obvious over Orlov et al. in view of Heanue et al.

The Examiner has further rejected the claims 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 27 and 28 under 35 USC 103 as being obvious over Orlov et al. in view of Heanue et al.

In reply to this rejection, Applicant would like to again incorporate by reference his comments made in the amendment filed March 15, 2010, the pre-appeal brief filed December 14, 2009, the Rule 116 Amendment filed October 7, 2009 and the amendment filed March 9, 2009. In addition, Applicant respectfully submits that neither Orlov et al. nor Heanue et al. disclose that the reference light is spatially modulated into a plurality of radial line patterns extending radially out from the area of said reproduction light in the area of the reference light.

SEP 07 2010

In view of the above, therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 27 and 28 are not obvious over Orlov et al. in view of Heanue et al.

The Examiner has also rejected the claims 29 and 30 under 35 USC 103 as being obvious over Orlov et al. in view of Heanue et al. and further in view of Horimai.

In reply to this rejection, Applicant would like to again incorporate by reference his comments made in the amendment filed March 15, 2010, the pre-appeal brief filed December 14, 2009, the Rule 116 Amendment filed October 7, 2009 and the amendment filed March 9, 2009. Still further, Applicant respectfully submits that Horimai also does not disclose that the reference light is spatially modulated into a plurality of radial line patterns extending radially out from the area of said reproduction light.


In view of the above, therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that the combination suggested by the Examiner is not Applicant's invention and the claims 29 and 30 are not obvious over Orlov et al. in view of Heanue et al. and further in view of Horimai.

Applicant has also added a new claim 33 which incorporates the allowable subject matter indicated by the Examiner in paragraph 11 of the Office Action and respectfully submits that this new claim 33 is allowable.


In view of the above, therefore, it is respectfully requested that this Amendment be entered, favorably considered and the case passed to issue.

Please charge any additional costs incurred by or in order to implement this Amendment or required by any requests for extensions of time to QUINN EMANUEL DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 50-4367.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 
William L. Androlia
Reg. No. 27,177

Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP.
Koda/Androlia
865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017
Tel: 213-443-3000 Fax: 213-443-3100
E-mail: thomasedison@quinnemanuel.com

Certificate of Transmission	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office Fax No. (571) 273-8300 on September 7, 2010.	
 Signature	9/7/2010 Date